

5011350

(12) NACH DEM VERTRAG ÜBER DIE INTERNATIONALE ZUSAMMENARBEIT AUF DEM GEBIET DES  
PATENTWESENS (PCT) VERÖFFENTLICHTE INTERNATIONALE ANMELDUNG

(19) Weltorganisation für geistiges Eigentum  
Internationales Büro



(43) Internationales Veröffentlichungsdatum  
24. Juli 2003 (24.07.2003)

PCT

(10) Internationale Veröffentlichungsnummer

WO 03/059677 A1

(51) Internationale Patentklassifikation<sup>7</sup>: B60K 31/04

(72) Erfinder; und

(21) Internationales Aktenzeichen: PCT/DE02/03539

(75) Erfinder/Anmelder (nur für US): KUSTOSCH, Mario  
[DE/DE]; Auf Hart 75/1, 71706 Markgroeningen (DE).

(22) Internationales Anmeldedatum:  
7. Oktober 2002 (07.10.2002)

(81) Bestimmungsstaaten (national): JP, US.

(25) Einreichungssprache: Deutsch

(84) Bestimmungsstaaten (regional): europäisches Patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SK, TR).

(26) Veröffentlichungssprache: Deutsch

Veröffentlicht:

(30) Angaben zur Priorität:  
102 01 160.5 15. Januar 2002 (15.01.2002) DE


— mit internationalem Recherchenbericht

(71) Anmelder (für alle Bestimmungsstaaten mit Ausnahme von US): ROBERT BOSCH GMBH [DE/DE]; Postfach 30 02 20, 70442 Stuttgart (DE).

Zur Erklärung der Zweibuchstaben-Codes und der anderen Abkürzungen wird auf die Erklärungen ("Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations") am Anfang jeder regulären Ausgabe der PCT-Gazette verwiesen.

(54) Title: METHOD AND DEVICE FOR CONTROLLING THE TRAVELLING SPEED OF A VEHICLE

(54) Bezeichnung: VERFAHREN UND VORRICHTUNG ZUR STEUERUNG DER FAHRGESCHWINDIGKEIT EINES FAHRZEUGS



200...SPEED LIMITING FUNCTION

202...SPEED REGULATING FUNCTION

210... DISTRIBUTOR

1... CONTROL: ENGINE, BRAKE, TRANSMISSION

(57) Abstract: Disclosed are a method and a device for controlling the travelling speed of a vehicle, comprising at least two functions influencing the travelling speed. At least one base value is established for the set variables of the functions so as to avoid conflicts between said functions. Said base value is taken into account when establishing the set variables.

[Fortsetzung auf der nächsten Seite]

WO 03/059677 A1



---

**(57) Zusammenfassung:** Es werden ein Verfahren and eine Vorrichtung zur Steuerung der Fahrgeschwindigkeit eines Fahrzeugs vorgeschlagen, bei welchen wenigstens zwei die Fahrzeuggeschwindigkeit beeinflussende Funktionen vorgesehen sind. Zur Konfliktvermeidung zwischen diesen Funktionen wird wenigstens ein Basiswert für die Vorgabegrößen der Funktionen gebildet, der bei der Bildung der Vorgabegrößen berücksichtigt wird.

Attorney Docket No: R 41892

Method and Arrangement for Controlling  
the Driving Speed of a Vehicle

State of the Art

5           The invention relates to a method and an arrangement for  
controlling the driving speed of a vehicle.

          From EP 507 072 B1 (United States Patent 5,351,776), an  
electronic control system for a vehicle is known wherein a  
desired acceleration value for the longitudinal movement of the  
10       vehicle is derived in dependence upon the driver command and on  
the desired values from the driver assist systems. The desired  
acceleration value is adjusted by controlling the drive train or  
the brake system. Specific inputs for the coordination of  
several desired acceleration values are not given with these  
15       desired acceleration values being determined from independent  
systems.

          A control system for a drive unit is known from German  
patent application 100 48 015 of September 26, 2000 wherein,  
starting from transmission output torque values or output desired  
20       torque values of different control systems, a resulting desired  
torque value is generated for the control of the drive unit. The  
desired torque value is realized via corresponding conversion  
into actuating quantities of the drive unit.

          For example, from DE-A 196 16 732 (United States  
25       Patent 6,208,926), it is known to convert a desired deceleration  
value, which originates from the driver by actuating the brake  
pedal or which originates from a driver assistance system such as  
a vehicle speed controller, into a desired brake torque which is  
realized by actuating the braking system of the vehicle.

30       An adaptive vehicle speed controller (vehicle speed

controller with distance measurement) is known from the SAE-Paper No. 96 10 10 "Adaptive Cruise Control, System Aspects and Development Trends", 1996, of Hermann Winner, Stefan Witte, Werner Uhler and Bernd Lichtenberg.

5     Advantages of the Invention

          A parallel operation of speed control functions and speed limiting functions is permitted via the described coordination. In this way, the combination of functions of different type and/or origin (different manufacturer) and/or different products  
10     (for example, use of the same speed limiter with an adaptive vehicle speed controller and a usual tempomat) is possible in an advantageous manner.

          Also advantageous is the simultaneous use of speed control function and limiting function. The alternative selection of the  
15     functions and therefore expensive operator-controlled elements are made unnecessary. In this way, the comfort of use and/or the operating friendliness of the system is increased.

          With the described coordination, a modular connection of additional applications is made possible which operate on the  
20     vehicle longitudinal movement such as, for example, a curve speed limiting.

          Additional advantages will become apparent from the following description of embodiments or from the dependent patent claims.

25     Drawing

          The invention is explained in greater detail with reference to the embodiments shown in the drawing. FIG. 1 shows an overview diagram of a control system which permits the below-described measure for conflict-free coexistence of  
30     different functions which influence the driving speed. In

FIGS. 2 to 5, and based on diagrams, a preferred embodiment for measures for the conflict-free coexistence of several vehicle speed control functions is shown. FIG. 6 shows a flowchart of a preferred embodiment of a desired value distributor.

## 5      Description of the Embodiments

FIG. 1 shows an electronic control unit 10 which can, depending upon the embodiment, be a control unit for the following: motor control, transmission control, brake control, a central control unit of a vehicle control system or another control unit. In the illustrated preferred embodiment, the control unit 10 is a control unit for controlling the drive motor. The control unit comprises a microcomputer including a memory 12, an input circuit 14 and an output circuit 16. These elements are connected to each other for data exchange by a communication system 18. Input lines are connected to the input circuit 14 and these input lines connect the control unit 10 to other control systems which exercise influence on the longitudinal movement of the vehicle and these input lines are connected to measuring devices for detecting operating variables of the vehicle, the drive unit, the drive train or the brake system. With a view to the preferred embodiment, a first input line 20 connects the control unit 10 to a vehicle speed limiter (VGB) 22 and a second input line 24 connects the control unit 10 to a vehicle speed controller (FGR) or to an adaptive vehicle speed controller (ACC) 26. At least one quantity is supplied to the control unit 10 via an input line 28 from a measuring device 30. This quantity represents the position of an operator-controlled element actuable by the driver. This operator-controlled element is, for example, an accelerator pedal. In addition, input lines 32 to 36 are provided which

connect the control unit 10 to measuring devices 38 to 42. These measuring devices detect signals which represent additional operating variables of the vehicle, of the drive, or of the brake system. As an example, the following are mentioned here: engine rpm, engine temperature, the status of ancillary consumers which do not contribute to the drive of the vehicle, the transmission ratio in the drive train, et cetera.

Output lines 14 lead away from the output circuit 16 of the control unit 10. Via these output lines 44, the drive unit 46 of the vehicle is controlled by means of actuating variables for power parameters. Furthermore, a connection to a braking control system 50 is provided via a connecting line 48. A deceleration command is outputted via this connection to the control unit 50a of the brake system and this control unit 50a actuates the brake system 50b of the vehicle. Such a brake control system 50 is, for example, a known electrohydraulic brake system.

In the embodiment shown, the hereinafter described measures for the conflict-free coexistence of the speed control systems are part of the control unit 10 which makes available actuating variables for controlling the drive unit 46 of the vehicle. In other embodiments, this coordinator is part of the control unit 50a of the brake system. A corresponding control signal is then outputted to a control unit for controlling the drive unit of the vehicle. In other embodiments, the control unit 10 is a central control unit or a control unit of an assistance system which determines the actuating signals for the brake control systems or the drive control systems. The drive unit 46 is an internal combustion engine or an electric motor depending upon the embodiment.

In addition, in FIG. 1, the vehicle speed limiter 22 and the

vehicle speed controller 26 are shown as separate control units which include their own microcomputers for carrying out their functions. In other embodiments, the described functions are programs of the microcomputer 12. In this case, only actuating  
5 signals of the driver and measuring signals with respect to vehicle speed and distance are transmitted via the input lines; whereas, the acceleration desired values of these control systems are present internally in the microcomputer 12.

The control unit 10 receives a quantity via the input  
10 line 28 which transmits the position of an operator-controlled element actuatable by the driver, for example, an accelerator pedal. From the above, as is explained, for example, in the initially-mentioned state of the art, a desired torque value is derived which is converted into the actuating quantities for  
15 controlling the drive unit by logically coupling the desired torque value with other desired torque values. The adaptive vehicle speed controller 26 generates acceleration desired signals. An example for such a procedure is known from the state of the art mentioned initially herein. The adaptive vehicle  
20 speed controller 26 transmits the desired acceleration to the control unit 10. The vehicle speed limiter 22 shown by way of example forms corresponding desired signals.

As described above, in addition to functions for the control of a pregiven speed (vehicle speed control or tempomat FGR) or  
25 the distance (for example, adaptive cruise control, ACC), also functions for limiting to a pregiven upper speed threshold (speed limiting functions) are increasingly demanded. Application examples for such limiting functions are the limiting to an upper speed, which is pregiven by the driver, the limiting of the speed  
30 in travel through a curve or when detecting an exceeding of the

permissible maximum weight, for pressure loss in tires,  
et cetera. Conflicts can occur when a speed controller and a  
speed limiter are active at the same time. If, for example, the  
speed controller limits to 80 km/h but a speed limit of 50 km/h  
5 is present, the two controllers would operate against each other  
and possibly available integrators would assume large values. In  
this way, the driving comfort would be affected, for example,  
from vibrations.

The flowchart shown in FIG. 2 represents the program of a  
10 microcomputer of one of the above-mentioned control units. The  
flowchart shows measures which effectively prevent the  
above-outlined conflict. As a speed control function, a function  
is understood in this context which requests the propulsion of  
the vehicle in the longitudinal direction. The propulsion is  
15 influenced positively as well as negatively. Examples of this  
are adaptive vehicle speed controllers, tempomats as well as  
stop-and-roll controllers. Speed limiting functions are  
functions which request a limiting of the propulsion in the  
longitudinal direction of the vehicle and therefore influence  
20 this propulsion only negatively. Examples are the vehicle speed  
limiters with input from the driver, speed limiting in a curve,  
et cetera.

In the flowchart of FIG. 2, a speed limiting function 200 as  
well as a speed control function 202 are shown. The  
25 configurations of functions of this kind, are, for example, known  
from the state of the art referred to initially herein. The  
functions develop at least one of the above-mentioned effects and  
in some embodiments, several of the effects are developed (for  
example, the limit function can include a curve limiting, a  
30 pressure loss limiting and a limiting pregiven by the driver).



In correspondence to the above-mentioned state of the art, the particular functions form actuating quantities  $aV_{Limdes}$  and/or  $aV_{Regdes}$  which the functions output for further processing. In the preferred embodiment, these quantities are  
5 desired values for the acceleration and/or deceleration of the vehicle. In other embodiments, these desired quantities are desired torque quantities, et cetera. The actuating quantities are transmitted to mixers (204, 206), respectively, assigned to the respective function. The mixer (LIM) 204 is responsible for  
10 the speed limiting function and the mixer (REG) 206 is responsible for the speed control function. The mixers have the task to limit the corresponding desired value (acceleration command). This takes place in dependence upon the base values  $aBase$  formed in 208. The formation of these values is  
15 described below in detail as is the operation of the mixer. The result of the limiting by the mixers are, possibly, limited desired values. In the case of the speed limiting function, these desired values are designated as  $aV_{Limmix}$  as output quantities of the mixer 204 and, in the case of the speed control  
20 function, these desired values are identified as  $aV_{Regmix}$  as output quantities of the mixer 206. These desired values, which are limited as may be required, are then coordinated in a distributor 210. The distributor makes a selection from these supplied acceleration command values and forms one or several  
25 propulsion desired values  $des$  which is outputted to the corresponding control functions for motor, brake and/or transmission. A preferred embodiment of one such distributor is shown in FIG. 6.

In 208, the acceleration base values are formed. In the  
30 preferred embodiment, a first base value  $aBaseMax$  is formed which

is that value which a desired acceleration must at least exceed so that an actual positive acceleration of the vehicle occurs; whereas, a value aBaseMin is determined as a second value which is that value which a desired acceleration must at least drop  
5 below so that a negative acceleration of the vehicle occurs.

These base values are transmitted to the mixers 204 and 206 as well as to the speed control functions and/or speed limiting functions. The base values satisfy several functions. On the one hand, the base values serve the speed control functions and  
10 the limiting functions as index quantities for limiting the actuating variables, index quantities for integrators and/or for initialization. Accordingly, the actuating quantities are, for example, limited to the particular base value because they only develop an effect for larger values and the integrators are set  
15 to this base value.

Furthermore, the base values prevent exceeding physically impossible ranges, for example, the maximum motor torque or maximum brake pressure.

As a third effect, the base values function as hysteresis  
20 values for a jolt limiting and therefore serve the driving comfort. As shown in FIG. 2, the base values are transmitted to the driving functions. The driving functions use the base values in order to carry out a limiting of their desired values aVRegMix according to FIG. 3 and aVLimMix according to FIG. 4. In this  
25 way, exceeding the base values or dropping below the base values is only permitted by a pregiven amount. This amount is applicable and so selected that no unpleasant jumps in the desired value signals occur.

The base values are pregiven and are especially dependent  
30 upon the actual acceleration or deceleration of the vehicle. In

the preferred embodiment, the base values are derived from characteristic lines.

In a preferred embodiment, the base values are determined as follows. If, as a condition precedent, a speed control function is active, aBaseMAX is computed on the basis of the old acceleration desired value aVRegmix(n-1) and the instantaneous vehicle actual acceleration aBaseAct. As a rule, aBaseMax corresponds to the desired value with a positive gradient of the desired value and corresponds to the actual acceleration value with a negative gradient. Here, it is to be noted that aBaseMax does not exceed the instantaneous acceleration value by more than an applicable value (for example, -0.7 m/sec). In this way, it is prevented that aBaseMax moves too far from the actual value by being tied to the old desired value.

In the same manner, aBaseMin is computed on the basis of the old acceleration desired value and the instantaneous acceleration value. As a rule, aBaseMin corresponds to the desired value with a negative gradient of the desired value and corresponds to the actual acceleration value with a positive gradient. Here, it is to be noted that aBaseMin does not drop below the instantaneous acceleration value by more than an applicable value (for example, 0.7 m/sec). In this way, it is prevented that aBaseMin moves too far from the actual value by being tied to the old desired value.

In FIG. 3, the operation of the mixer 204 is described based on a diagram. FIG. 3 shows a characteristic line which functions to convert the supplied desired value aVLimdes into the limited desired value aVLimMix. The output quantity aVLimMix is plotted as a function of the input quantity aVLimdes. The characteristic line describes essentially an original straight line which is

limited. The upper limit line is formed by the value  $(aBaseMax + aPlusLim)$  and the lower limit line is formed by  $(aBaseMin - aMinusLim)$ . Here, the quantities  $aPlusLim$  and  $aMinusLim$  are fixed pregiven quantities. In this way, a characteristic line arises which limits the acceleration command above a specific acceleration command to a fixed maximum value or minimum value. A positive change as well as a negative change of the output quantity  $aVLimMix$  is possible at any time outside of the limit values. A change of the desired quantity beyond the base values is likewise possible at any time.

FIG. 4 shows an embodiment for the mixer 206. This mixer 206 also defines a limit characteristic line, preferably an original straight line. Here too, the output quantity  $aVRegMix$  is plotted as a function of the input quantity  $aVRegdes$ . An input quantity of the mixer 206 is the desired quantity  $aVRegdes$ . The upper limit quantity is formed by the base value  $aBaseMax$  plus a quantity  $aPlus$  and the lower limit quantity is formed by the base value  $aBaseMin$  from which a fixedly pregiven value  $aMinusReg$  is subtracted. The quantity  $aPlus$  is changeable. The quantity  $aPlus$  is dependent upon whether an active intervention of the driving speed limiter is present. An active intervention of the drive speed limiter is always then given when the vehicle is decelerated under its action or when other input quantities, for example, from the accelerator pedal are limited thereby. For an active intervention by the driving speed limiter, the upper limit is pregiven by  $aBaseMax$ . In this case, the speed control function can thereby not contribute to a positive acceleration change. In this way, it is prevented that the speed control function operates against the driving speed limiter. A negative acceleration change via the speed control

function is non-critical and therefore is possible at any time. The mixer 206 therefore forms a type of valve which limits the acceleration command of the speed controller upwardly for an active intervention by the driving speed limiter.

5           The formation of the factor aPlus is sketched with respect to the flowchart of FIG. 5. The value aPlus is an output quantity of a switch element 300. The switch element 300 is in the position shown for a non-active intervention of the limiter. This means that the fixed pregiven quantity aPlusReg is  
10           transmitted as aPlus-value. For an active intervention of the speed limiter ( $B\_VGB\_Akt = 1$ ), the switch element 300 switches into the position not shown so that the value 0 is transmitted as aPlus-value. The switch signal  $B\_VGB\_Akt$  is formed when a deceleration has been detected ( $aDesLim < aBaseMin$ ) by the  
15           limiter or when a limiting of the driver command by the output quantity of the limiter is present. The driver command is derived from the accelerator pedal.

FIG. 6 shows a flowchart which sketches a preferred realization of the distributor 210 while considering the driver  
20           command MFW. Input quantities are the driver command torque MFW in addition to the desired values  $AVRegMix$  and  $aVLimMix$ . The driver command torque MFW is determined from the accelerator pedal value. The output quantity MPT is the resulting command for propulsion torque from the driver functions and the driver  
25           command. The quantity  $aBr$  identifies the resulting desired deceleration which results from the drive functions. The binary data  $B\_BrEn$  represents the validity of  $aBr$ . In 2101 and 2102, the acceleration desired values  $aVRegMix$  and  $aVLimMix$  are converted into corresponding transmission output torques with the  
30           aid of the drive dynamic equation. The driver command torque MFW

and the desired value, which is derived from the desired acceleration value `aVRegMix` of the controller, are supplied to a maximum value selector 2103. The larger of the two values is selected in the maximum value selector. In this way, an override  
5 of the drive speed controller by the driver command is possible.

The result of the maximum value selection is supplied to a minimum value selector 2104 to which also a desired value is supplied derived from the desired acceleration value `aVLimMix` of the limiter. The smaller of the two values forms the propulsion  
10 command `MPT`. In this way, a limiting of the drive speed controller and the driver command is made possible by the limiter.

The deceleration command `aBr` is formed by the minimum value selector 2105 from the acceleration desired values of the  
15 controller and the limiter. Furthermore, a switch element with hysteresis 2106 is provided. If the determined value of `aBr` is greater than a limit value, then the validity signal `B_BrEn` is set and is reset for a drop below a further, lesser limit value. The deceleration is pre-given as (upper) limit value which  
20 deceleration is caused by the drag torque of the drive train.